

Poster 19

Fermi-liquid to non-Fermi liquid crossovers in the superconducting Yukawa-SYK model on a lattice

Davide Valentinis,^{1,2} Gian Andrea Inkof,² Haoyu Guo,^{3,4} Chenyuan Li,⁴ Aavishkar Patel,⁵ Ilya Esterlis,⁶ Subir Sachdev,⁴ and Joerg Schmalian^{2,1}

¹ *Institute for Quantum Materials and Technologies, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology*

² *Institute for Theoretical Condensed Matter physics, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology*

³ *Laboratory of Atomic and Solid State Physics, Cornell University*

⁴ *Department of Physics, Harvard University*

⁵ *Center for Computational Quantum Physics, Flatiron Institute*

⁶ *Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin-Madison*

Strange-metal phases, found in, e.g., heavy fermions, pnictides, and cuprates, host partially coherent superconducting states, born out of incoherent, non-Fermi liquid (NFL) normal-state spectra [1–4]. The Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev (SYK) approach [5–7], based on all-to-all interactions among N fermion species (“flavors”) in 0-dimensional dots, is a promising route for building toy models of NFL physics. A superconducting instability emerges by coupling fermions to M bosonic flavors (the Yukawa-SYK model), responsible for Cooper pairing and for normal-state incoherence [8,9]. In this work, we generalize the Yukawa-SYK model to a lattice with random hopping parameters. We exactly solve the model in the spin-singlet large- N limit, at $N = M$ and at particle-hole symmetry, we construct the phase diagram, and we characterize the FL to NFL crossovers in the normal and superconducting states [10,11]. Hopping exponentially decreases the critical temperature in FL regime, which is maximal in the single-dot NFL limit at given coupling. However, the phase stiffness and the condensation energy are maximal precisely at the NFL/FL crossover. Such correlation is reminiscent of an analogous experimental evidence found in superconducting cuprates [11]. We then generalize the theory to 2D dispersive fermions and bosons, and apply this model to DC and AC strange-metal magnetotransport [12].

[1] N. D. Mathur *et al.*, *Nature* 394, **39** (1998).

[2] N. Doiron-Leyraud *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 214531 (2009).

[3] S. Karahara *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 184519 (2010).

[4] G. R. Stewart, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **73**, 797 (2001).

[5] S. Sachdev, *Phys. Rev. X* **5**, 041025(R) (2015).

[6] R. A. Davison *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 155131 (2017).

[7] D. Chowdhury *et al.*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **94**, 035004 (2022).

[8] I. Esterlis and J. Schmalian, *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 115132 (2019).

[9] D. Hauck *et al.*, *Ann. Phys. (N. Y.)* **417**, 168120 (2020).

[10] D. Valentinis, G. A. Inkof, and J. Schmalian, *Phys. Rev. Research* **5**, 043007 (2023).

[11] D. Valentinis, G. A. Inkof, and J. Schmalian, *Phys. Rev. B* **108**, L140501 (2023).

[12] D. Feng, D. Lu, K. Shen, C. Kim, H. Eisaki, A. Damascelli, R. Yoshizaki, J.-i. Shimoyama, K. Kishio, G. Gu, *et al.*, *Science* **289**, 277 (2000).

[13] H. Guo, D. Valentinis, J. Schmalian, S. Sachdev, and A. A. Patel, arXiv:2308.01956 (2023).